1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
10	CRISTINA BALAN,	CASE NO. C19-67 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING IN PART
12	v.	AND DENYING IN PART PLAINTIFF'S EX PARTE MOTION
13	TESLA MOTORS INC,	TO REOPEN CASE
14	Defendant.	
15		
16	This matter is before the Court on Plaintiff's ex parte application for administrative relief	
17	and approval to reopen the case. (Dkt. No. 78.) The Court GRANTS the motion IN PART and	
18	DENIES it IN PART.	
19	This is a defamation case subject to arbitration by the Parties' agreement, except for the	
20	confidentiality provisions, because the claim was related to Plaintiff's prior employment with	
21	Defendant. (See Dkt. Nos. 40, 70.) The case was closed because there were no other claims.	
22	(Dkt. No. 75.) Plaintiff, who is pro se, now files an ex parte motion explaining that she intends	
23		
24		

to file a motion to vacate the arbitration decision. (Dkt. No. 78.) She asks the Court to reopen the case so she can do so. The Court GRANTS the motion in part simply to clarify that Plaintiff is free to file any motion or application in accordance with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the Local Civil Rules. The Court DENIES the application to reopen the case. Plaintiff's claim is subject to arbitration. (Dkt. Nos. 70, 75.) At this time, the case remains closed. Whether it is reopened depends on the merits of the anticipated motion. The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to Plaintiff and all counsel. Dated January 19, 2022. ~ R. Plut James L. Robart United States Senior District Judge